

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/817,447

Applicant(s)

AHN ET AL.

Examiner

James Mitchell

Art Unit

2827

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James Mitchell.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Eduardo Drake.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner confirmed that he would withdraw finality, enter the after final amendment and send out a new office action. (Upon further review, the examiner did not withdraw finality because the final was necessitated by the amendment and thus was deemed proper, because the after final amendment did not put the case in condition for allowance; however the examiner never contacted applicant to indicate that he changed his position. Applicant's summary of the communications between applicant and examiner are correct.) .